



01 / OVERVIEW & LOCATION

A finding should leave a clear record.

One illustrative flashing transition, followed from the original source and observation to a reviewed correction and a preventive check.

Synthetic demonstration

All names, locations, statements and dates are fictional. No actual inspection, photograph, test or certification is represented.

Building

Juniper Court / Building A

Project


Exterior rehabilitation

Location

East canopy-to-wall return / E-01

Record

QSP-SAMPLE-001 / finding F-001



E-01: vector location diagram only. No installation dimensions are given. E-02 marks a planned review location, not a second reported defect.

The decision, in context

The fictional exposed interface is accepted within the observed scope on September 28. The original finding, revision history, returned response and limitations remain part of the record.



02 / SOURCE, ORIGINAL FINDING & RESPONSE

Keep the source. Keep the original observation.

The correction reference can change without rewriting what was first seen.

S-01 / A-503 / 07 / revision B / September 17, 2026

At the canopy-to-wall return, provide a continuous transition to the adjacent wall flashing. Document the interface before it is concealed. Submit unclear end conditions for review.

The requirement above is original demonstration text, not an extract from a published standard or an actual construction detail.

Original finding F-001 / September 21 / 10:20 CDT

At east return E-01, the visible termination stops short of the adjacent flashing. Continuity could not be verified at the return. Review the detail and proposed correction before concealment.

RFI-014: clarification before the correction

September 22: the sample coordinator asks for the intended end condition. September 23: the sample design reviewer links the response to revision C. Both revision references stay with F-001.

S-02 / RFI-014 response / revision C

Revision C clarifies the east return. Install the indicated continuous transition and present the interface for the designated review before concealment.

A response is returned, not erased

September 24: a simulated completion response describes the cover in place, without locating E-01 or showing the interface before concealment. The reviewer requests the missing basis. F-001 remains open.

The package contains that response narrative; no field photograph exists. Any cost or schedule change would require a separate decision and authorization. No change order or monetary impact is asserted.



03 / VERIFICATION BASIS & LIMITS

Acceptance needs a stated basis.

Observed correction accepted within scope

September 28, 2026 / 09:10 CDT. The sample reviewer records an observation of the exposed E-01 interface against illustrative detail revision C.

What the fictional review establishes

Review element	Recorded basis
Observed	Accessible interface at E-01; the described transition is visibly continuous in the fictional reviewer note.
Not observed	Concealed substrate and adjacent concealed interfaces.
Not tested	Water performance. No water test or watertightness conclusion is represented.
Source applied	Illustrative detail A-503 / 07, revision C, linked through RFI-014.
Disposition	Acceptance applies only to the condition described within the stated observation scope.

Responsibilities remain distinct

The trade partner proposes and performs the correction. The design reviewer clarifies the detail. The designated verifier observes and records a disposition. Assignment does not establish fault.

Authority to proceed must come from the project agreement

Design authority, construction responsibility and permission to conceal remain with the actual designated parties. This example does not issue an approval, stop-work instruction, professional certification or guarantee.

An explicit boundary is useful evidence

A later reviewer can distinguish the observed area from inaccessible or untested conditions. The disposition does not accept the whole elevation, certify the building or erase the first finding and returned response.



04 / BUILDING HISTORY & PACKAGE INDEX

Carry the lesson forward.

Building BLD-JUN-A retains this project history. Future work gets its own scope and decisions.

2026	Event	What stays understandable
Sep 17	Revision B	Original source recorded.
Sep 21	Finding F-001	Original condition and uncertainty retained.
Sep 22-23	RFI-014 / rev C	Clarification added; prior source remains.
Sep 24	Evidence return	Same finding stays open; not a new defect.
Sep 28	Scoped acceptance	Observed interface accepted; limits retained.
Oct 02	E-02 review planned	Preventive check; no second defect asserted.

Repeat visit: another event on the same finding. **New occurrence:** a separately observed defect with its own ID. **Prevention:** a check before the next installation is concealed. Acceptance does not automatically transfer between locations.

Evidence index / all five items included

ID	Included item	Location
EVD-01	Vector location diagram	Page 1
EVD-02	Illustrative source and RFI text	Page 2
EVD-03	Completion-response and return narrative	Page 2
EVD-04	Verification basis and limitations	Page 3
EVD-05	Building timeline and prevention note	Page 4

Not supplied: actual site photographs, signed designs, physical samples or test data. None exists for this fictional case. No measured recurrence reduction or savings claim is made.

Available now: this example and its HTML, PDF and JSON downloads. Secure team access, persistent customer records, in-product workflow notifications and enforced review workflows require the future customer platform.

QualityShieldPro / Louis Baker, Owner & Developer
admin@qualityshieldpro.com / qualityshieldpro.com/how-it-works.html